Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/734,920	HENRY, FELIX
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sherali Ishrat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard P. Dinna</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1-21, 23-33		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
ONBR		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/734,920

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that claims 1-6, 13-20, 28-33 reading on elected species I (fig.3) are allowable over prior art of record as argued by the Applicant in the response dated 7/6/2005. Non elected claims need to be canceled and claim 32 is improper because it should be independent claim however claims recites according to 14 or 15 claim but it recites all the limitation of claim 14 but it is in the form computer storage medium. Furthermore claims 31-32 should recite computer to avoid 35 USC 101. Applicant's Attorney requested Examiner to the correct the problems in the claims by an examiner's amendment.